

# Quality assessment of DORIS stations environment based on POD residuals and signal intensity variations

Philippe Yaya (pyaya@groupcls.com), CLS, France

30 Years of Progress in Radar Altimetry Symposium / IDS Workshop 4-5 September 2024, Montpellier, France



### Content

#### Introduction

- Purpose of the analysis
- What measurements are analyzed
- Once per year assessment of DORIS stations quality based on POD

#### Examples of analysis

- Past and recent work on 9 stations
- Cover all possible effects

#### Conclusions



#### **Introduction:** purpose of the analysis

Analysis necessary for:

- Assessing a new site
- Assessing a site renovation
- Analyzing data when a **problem** is raised on a station
- Determining the **next renovation** to be conducted
- → Need for a regular health check of the stations by analyzing:
- The signal **amplitude** (received power)
- The signal **phase** (residuals of CNES POD adjustment)



#### Introduction: what measurements are analyzed?

<u>AMPLITUDE → Power attenuation</u> = {measured received power – theoretical received power} on both frequencies (400 MHz & 2GHz).

The theoretical power is given by:

$$P_{theo} = P_{tran} - l_{gr} + g_{gr}(\theta) - p_{path} + g_{boa}(\theta) - l_{boa}(\theta)$$

gr = ground boa = on-board l = cable loss g = antenna gain  $\theta = elevation$  $p_{path} = path loss$ 

The <u>mean</u> of all values in a 0.5° x 0.5° square is computed. In order to eliminate the biases (cable length, etc.) we subtract the average on the whole geometry.

**PHASE**  $\rightarrow$  **POE residuals** : DORIS 2 GHz residuals from CNES POE (Precise Orbit Ephemeris) The RMS of all values in a 0.5° x 0.5° square is computed.

The resulting maps are compared with the fisheye/panoramic views (360° views) from IGN if available, or other pictures of interest



#### Introduction: yearly assessment of the network quality

Yearly ranking of the DORIS stations based on the average of DORIS POE RMS (here in 2023)



These statistics may guide towards a specific station analysis



#### **Analyzed stations**



The following slides will show the analysis results of 9 stations:

- relevant previous analysis
- and more recent ones



#### Manilla



# Jiufeng



Pictures taken in 2003, from: Report of the Doris Installation at Jiufeng, IGN, 2005



# Jiufeng





### Jiufeng

Continuous <u>degradation</u> due to the **vegetation growth.** Seasonal signal due to the **densification of the foliage** in Summer.





#### **Tristan da Cunha**

Two containers were installed before the B4G installation in 2021. Impact on data quality?







Difference

2.0

2.5

3.0

3.5

Impact of the containers: up to 4 mm, but very localized: ~3-4 % of the whole data is affected (>2 mm difference)



#### Tristan da Cunha



No impact of the multipaths. At least, the improvement due to the B4G is well above the disadvantage of the multipath effect.



#### Kauai

Panoramic view in 2002





Concrete base at the foot of the antenna  $\rightarrow$  impact on the data?



- → Interference fringes caused by a combination of direct and indirect signals due to multipaths on the concrete base. Same effect as for the former Fairbanks station

#### Kauai

Sudden drop in the power attenuation mi-december

2006, in the South-East direction.

→ There was a scaffolding there! (dissassembled in 2008)

Balise DORIS de Kauai : atténuation de puissance sur SPOT5 Moyennes du 14/11/06 au 17/12/06, par cellule de 0.5'x0.5'



Balise DORIS de Kauai : atténuation de puissance sur SPOT5 Moyennes du 18/12/06 au 21/01/07, par cellule de 0.5´x0.5´







#### Saint John's



#### Amsterdam



Same obstruction elevation (9°) but not the same impact: due to the diffraction on the building roof, the latter seems higher (impact on residuals up to 20°)

1051

105'



Host building (Amsterdam B4G installation report, IPEV, 10/12/2020)

### Hanga-Roa



### Hanga-Roa









#### Hanga-Roa & Saint-Helena

# HROC





Correlation of the RMS evolution with the mean temperature.

+ low elevation affected

➔ Mismodelling of the tropospheric correction

#### HEMB





**S** CLS

#### Kourou

The signal power attenuation is more perturbed from December/January than in June/July. Moreover, many passes are missing in Dec./Jan.



All these observations are typical of **ionospheric scintillations** in the region of South America.

See also the presentation from M. Cherrier (session 12.5) for more recent results

15 days signal losses on SPOT5 Oct. 2004 - Nov. 2005 2HW 700 <u>දි</u> 600 500 400 300 200 년 100 More losses on the 400 MHz channel from Nov. To Feb. Signal losses on SPOT5 vs. local hour Oct. 2004 - Nov. 2005 1200 400 MHz 1000 800 nbr de pertes 600 400 200 12 More losses on the 400 MHz channel after the sunset

#### Conclusions

#### Regular assessment of DORIS station quality

- This work has been performed for almost 20 years
- Allows to raise or confirm modifications or specificity in the environment for some stations

#### Summary of the perturbation causes

A radio signal may be degraded or lost by:

- Plain mask (building, mountain,...):
  - no data: obstruction
  - degradation in the edge of the mask: diffraction
- Translucent medium (vegetation): degradation: refraction
- Reflection on the ground: multipaths
- Poor propagation in the medium: tropospheric & ionospheric disturbances
- (+ radio-interference, not discussed here)

#### ✤ 4<sup>th</sup> generation beacon (B4G)

- On-going replacement of the 3<sup>rd</sup> generation beacons (B3G)
- Allow more flexible installation of the antenna
- Seems to provide slightly better results than B3G

